creating a better place for people and wildlife



The Planning Inspectorate Our ref: XA/2024/100121/07-L01

Your ref: EN010122

[OaklandsFarmSolar@planninginspector

ate.gov.uk] Date: 10 December 2024

Dear Sir

EXAMINATION - OAKLANDS FARM SOLAR DEADLINE 7 (10 DECEMBER) - EA COMMENTS ON THE DEADLINE 6 SUBMISSIONS AND ANY OTHER INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE EXA FOR DEADLINE 7. OAKLANDS FARM SOLAR PARK, DERBYSHIRE.

We write in response to the Examining Authority's invitation to respond to information submitted at Deadline 6.

Please see below the *Environment Agency & Oaklands Farm Solar Work* **Package Tracker** which provides further detail on the progress of the Environment Agency's Relevant Representation points following Deadline 6 submissions.



Overarching Tracker							
Subject	Topics	Baseline assessment	Impact	Solution/ mitigation	Agreed requirement/ or assessment updated to resolve issue	Requirement Number in DCO	Note:
Ecology	Water Environment Report / WFD (with regards to potential culverting of Ordinary Watercourses) (Relevant Representation (RR) Point 2)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		The amended WFD Assessment [REP4-043] addresses our WFD concerns regarding ecology/biodiversity.
Flood Risk	Sequential Test (RR point 1)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		Compliance with policy regarding the Sequential Test is not within the remit of the EA. In regard to our Relevant Representation [AS-019] we emphasised the need for the Applicant to demonstrate that the Sequential Test has been passed. The Applicant has submitted 14.6 Sequential Assessment - Flood Risk [REP6-045] which addresses our concerns regarding the Sequential Test. However, the Local Planning Authority will need to determine if the test has been passed.
	Vulnerability Classification (RR point 1)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		FRA now includes correct Vulnerability Classification, 'Essential Infrastructure'



Exception Test (RR point 1)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Working on solution	The Applicant has committed to removing the new watercourse crossings for the operational phase [REP5-026]. The Applicant has sent the EA an updated FRA and model run. The design changes representing the third crossing as a single span bailey bridge mean that offsite flood increases outside of the order limits are not observed. The Applicant will submit this new information at Deadline 7 and the EA will confirm agreement to this approach at Deadline 8.
Climate Change Allowance (RR point 1)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	The correct climate change allowances have beer used, which is the Higher Central allowance for the 2080's epoch.
Detailed Flood Modelling (RR point 1)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	The hydraulic model for the ordinary watercourse and tributary which flows through the developmen site is considered reasonable. The model is well constructed and uses the latest available Lidar and channel survey information. Assumptions and limitations are clearly reported, and sensitivity testing has been undertaken which has helped to understand the potential variance in model results. The modelling undertaken provides a suitable basis for the Flood Risk Assessment.



	Installation of watercourse crossings at the beginning of the decommissioning phase/ removal of watercourse crossings after the decommissioning phase.	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	22	6.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 4.5 – Outline Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan (Clean) [REP6-022], chapter 3.1.9 states that, ' At the point of decommissioning, drainage and flood risk will be considered based on legislation and best practice at the time.' This ensures that flood risk, in relation to the temporary installation of watercourse crossings, will be assessed. The EA will be consulted on Requirement 22 (Decommissioning and restoration).
Geomorphology	Water Environment Report / WFD (RR Point 2)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		The amended WFD Assessment [REP4-043] addresses our WFD concerns regarding geomorphology.
Groundwater Protection	WFD Assessment (WFD assessment needs to include WFD Groundwater Body) (RR Point 2)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		The amended WFD Assessment [REP4-043] addresses our WFD concerns regarding groundwater.



	Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	9	
	Decommissioning Environment Management Plan (DEMP)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	22	
	Operational Environment Management Plan (OEMP)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	N/A so agreed		
Waste	Waste Management Strategy	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	N/A so agreed	9	The EA Waste Team have confirmed that the topsoil bunds appear to be an appropriate height and profile. We recommend that they are compacted and planted with grass or other suitable vegetation to prevent soil erosion and potential runoff pollution. In respect of leaving cables in situ post decommissioning and in line with the Definition of Waste, cables in general, unless oil filled, would be unlikely to be considered as a waste if left in the ground
Water Quality	Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) 1) daily monitoring by Principal Contractor. The need for an Environmental Monitoring Plan (RR Point 4)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	9	Updated DCO [REP1-004] and oCEMP [REP1-008] at Deadline 1 has resolved this point.
	Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) 2) Environmental Permit for discharges should be reflected in the CEMP (RR Point 4)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	9	Updated oCEMP [REP1-008] at Deadline 1 has resolved this point.



	Decommissioning Environment Management Plan (DEMP)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	22	The EA are to be consulted on the Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan in line with Requirement 22. At the time of decommissioning the Applicant would need to demonstrate, as part of the Decommissioning Environmental Management Plan, that leaving cables in situ would not result in pollution to ground or surface water.
	The pollution risks of emergency response have not been appropriately assessed. (Point 7 on RR)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		REP5-017 & 018 6.1 Environmental Statement - Appendix 8.1 – Flood Risk Assessment and Outline Drainage Strategy (Tracked) addresses our concerns. An automated pollution control valve (linked to the fire detection system) will ensure that surface water runoff will not be discharged during a fire event, preventing it from leaving the locality and allowing the potential contaminants to be removed/ treated.
	Operational Environment Management Plan (OEMP)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	11	
	Water Environment Report / WFD - Changes to water quality that do not impact WFD Status should still be considered (RR Point 6)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	N/A so agreed		This point has been fully resolved. No need for any assessments/ documents to be updated as this was a misunderstanding rather that an issue with methodology.
							1
Development Consent Order (DCO)	Disapplication of s25 of the Water Resources Act (impoundment) (RR Point 3)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		The Draft DCO have been updated and reference to disapplication of s25 of the Water Resources Act has been removed.
	CEMP Requirement wording changed to include EA to be consulted [submitted to and approved by the local planning authority, in consultation with the Environment Agency] (RR Point 5)	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed	Agreed		Updated DCO [REP1-004] at Deadline 1 has resolved this point.

creating a better place for people and wildlife



Yours faithfully

Mr. Lewis Pemberton Planning Specialist